Weiser's Algorithm Computes Minimal Path-Faithful Slices of Function-linear, Free Program Schemas

#### Mike Laurence

#### Department of Computing, Goldsmiths College, London, UK

July 11, 2008

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Weiser's Algorithm Computes Minimal Path-Faithful Slices of Function-linear, Free Program Schemas

## Mike Laurence

# Department of Computing, Goldsmiths College, London, UK m.laurence@gold.ac.uk

A *schema* is like a program except that real functions and real predicates are replaced by symbols referring to functions and predicates.

A *schema* is like a program except that real functions and real predicates are replaced by symbols referring to functions and predicates.

A schema thus represents an entire class of programs, depending on how the function and predicate symbols are interpreted.

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= a(); \\ y &:= b(); \\ while \ p(y) \\ & \begin{cases} \\ y &:= f(y); \\ x &:= g(x, y); \\ \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here is a schema.

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

$$\begin{array}{l} x := 0; \\ y := 0; \\ while (y < 100) \\ \{ \\ y := y + 1; \\ x := x + y; \\ \} \end{array}$$

# Here is one of the programs that it represents.

・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

э

## Program Transformation

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Program Transformation
- Program Comprehension

伺い イヨト イヨト

- Program Transformation
- Program Comprehension
- Program Slicing

向下 イヨト イヨト

**Definition** A schema T is a *slice* of a schema S if T is obtained from S by deleting statements from S;

**Definition** A schema T is a *slice* of a schema S if T is obtained from S by deleting statements from S;

formally, a slice is defined recursively by the following rules;

## skip

### is a slice of every schema.

(1日) (日) (日)

### Slices of sequences of schemas

•  $S_1$  skip and skip  $S_2$  are slices of  $S_1 S_2$ ;

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Slices of sequences of schemas

- $S_1$  skip and skip  $S_2$  are slices of  $S_1 S_2$ ;
- $S_1 skip S_3$  is a slice of  $S_1 S_2 S_3$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## If S' is a slice of S then

while p(v) do S'

is a slice of

while p(v) do S.

• 3 3

### Suppose

if 
$$q(u)$$
 then  $S'_1$  else  $S'_2$ 

is a slice of

# if q(u) then $S_1$ else $S_2$ .

-

**Definition** Given a schema S, a slice T of S and variable v;

► T is a v-slice of S if T preserves termination and the final value of v. **Definition** Given a schema S, a slice T of S and variable v;

- ► T is a v-slice of S if T preserves termination and the final value of v.
- T is a v-path-faithful slice of S if T preserves termination and the final value of v and also the executed path through S.

**Definition** Given a schema S, and variable v, Weiser's slice  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  is the minimal slice of S which is closed under

backward data dependence, and

**Definition** Given a schema S, and variable v, Weiser's slice  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  is the minimal slice of S which is closed under

- backward data dependence, and
- control dependence.

**Definition** Given a schema S, and variable v, Weiser's slice  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  is the minimal slice of S which is closed under

- backward data dependence, and
- control dependence.

**Theorem**[M R Laurence, PLID 2008]  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  is a *v*-path-faithful slice of *S*.

向下 イヨト イヨト

while 
$$q(u)$$
  
{
 $u := k(u);$ 
 $if p(w)$  then
  
{
 $v := g();$ 
 $w := f(w);$ 
}
else skip
}

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

while 
$$q(u)$$
  
{
 $u := k(u);$ 
 $if p(w)$  then
  
{
 $v := g();$ 
 $w := f(w);$ 
}
else skip
}

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

while q(u)u := k(u);if p(w) then v := g();w := f(w);skip else

→ ∃ >

while q(u)u := k(u);if p(w) then v := g();w := f(w);skip else

- - E - F

while q(u)u := k(u);if p(w) then v := g();w := f(w);skip else

- - E - F

while q(u)u := k(u);if p(w) then v := g();w := f(w);skip else

- - E - F

however,

S has a smaller slice T given by deleting the assignment w := f(w); from S.

T is a v-slice

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

however,

S has a smaller slice T given by deleting the assignment w := f(w); from S.

T is a v-slice

but not a v-path-faithful slice.

# Weiser's algorithm does not always produce *minimal v*-slices, and

# Weiser's algorithm does not always produce *minimal v*-slices, and

v-slices need not be path-faithful.

### Let S' be the schema

while 
$$p(u) \ v := g()$$
.

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

# Let S' be the schema while $p(u) \ v := g()$ . Here, $\mathcal{W}(S', v) = S'$ , but

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let S' be the schema while  $p(u) \ v := g()$ . Here,  $\mathcal{W}(S', v) = S'$ , but skip

is a v-path-faithful slice of S'.

# Weiser's algorithm does not always produce *minimal* path-faithful slices.

向下 イヨト イヨト

## Definition A schema is

*linear* if it has no repeated function *or* predicate symbols;

A 3 1 A 3 1

# Definition A schema is

- *linear* if it has no repeated function *or* predicate symbols;
- function-linear if it has no repeated function symbols.

## **Definition** A schema S is

 free if every path through S is executable for some interpretation of its symbols and some initial state;

## **Definition** A schema S is

- free if every path through S is executable for some interpretation of its symbols and some initial state;
- *liberal* if for every executable path ρ through S, there is an interpretation of its symbols and an initial state such that the same expression is not generated more than once along ρ.

(B) (B)

#### Freeness ⇒ liberality

## The schema

### is free and linear but not liberal.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

## The schema

# while p(w)skip

### is liberal and linear but not free.

伺い イヨト イヨト

## Theorem[M R Laurence, JLAP 2005]

Let S be a free, liberal, function-linear schema and let v be a variable.

Then the slice  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  of S is the minimal v-slice of S.

# Theorem[M R Laurence, PLID 2008]

Let S, be a free, function-linear schema and let v be a variable.

Then the slice  $\mathcal{W}(S, v)$  of S is the minimal path-faithful v-slice of S.